

CNR NO. DLNT01-009602-2026
Bail Matters 1679/2026 & 1680/2026
453/2026 (ADARSH NAGAR)
STATE Vs. (1) SHEHK ANALUDDIN @ ALLAUDIN
(2) ARBAAZ

**ORDER ON THE REGULAR BAIL APPLICATIONS OF ACCUSED
SHEKH ANALUDDIN @ ALLAUDIN AND ARBAAZ**

01.09.2026

Present: Dr. Raj Rani, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal, Counsel for the applicants/accused persons.

1. These are two separate applications for seeking anticipatory bail on behalf of applicants Shekh Analuddin @ Allaudin and Arbaaz.
2. It is argued by counsel for applicant Shekh Analuddin @ Allaudin that the applicant is the real brother of main accused Joinal and they have brotherly call record as well as monetary transactions. It is further argued that main accused Joinal was also subjected to custodial harassment and tortured by the police officials. It is further argued that the alleged recovery of contraband i.e. 10.530 Kg of Ganja falls under the category of intermediate quantity. It is further argued that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant. It is further argued that the investigating agency is trying to falsely implicate and apprehend the applicant in the present case. It is prayed that the applicant Shekh Analuddin @ Allaudin be granted interim bail.

3. It is argued on behalf of applicant Arbaaz that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Joinal, however, there is nothing on record to substantiate said fact. It is further argued that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant. It is further argued that the investigating agency is trying to falsely implicate and apprehend the applicant in the present case. It is prayed that the applicant Arbaaz be granted interim bail.

4. The Additional PP for the State with the assistance of the IO opposed the bail application stating that the allegations against the applicants are serious in nature. It is further argued that co-accused Joinal has disclosed that the recovered contraband was procured from his brother applicants Shekh Analuddin @ Allaudin and Arbaaz. It is further argued that the custodial interrogation of the applicants is required to unearth the entire chain of illegal contraband and to find out the source of contraband. It is further argued that there is strong apprehension of the applicants fleeing and influencing the witnesses, therefore, the applicants may not be granted bail at this stage.

5. Heard. Record perused.

6. The allegations against the applicants are totally upon the disclosure statement of the co-accused persons. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicants. They have joined the investigation. There is no previous involvement of the applicants in any other criminal case.

7. Considering the over all facts and circumstances of the case in mind, this court is of the considered opinion that applicants Shekh

Analuddin @ Allaudin and Arbaaz deserve concession of anticipatory bail. Applicants/accused Shekh Analuddin @ Allaudin and Arbaaz, are directed to join the investigation as and when, required by the IO/SHO and cooperate in the investigation.

8. In the event of the arrest, if required, in accordance with law, the applicants/accused Shekh Analuddin @ Allaudin and Arbaaz be released by the concerned IO/SHO on furnishing bail bonds for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- each with one surety each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the IO/SHO but subject to the following conditions:

- (i) They shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.
- (ii) They shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.
- (iii) They shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.
- (iv) They shall provide their mobile numbers to the IO.
- (v) All other conditions laid under sub-section (3) of Section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.

9. In any case of default, IO/SHO shall be at liberty to move an application seeking cancellation of his bail.

10. Nothing in this order shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case during trial.

11. A copy of this order be sent to the Jail Superintendent concerned for further communication to the accused/applicant. Copy of this order be also forwarded to Jail Superintendent concerned through E-Mail.

Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed for.

Sushil Anuj Tyagi
Spl. Judge(NDPS)/ASJ
North Distt., Rohini Courts,
Delhi/02.09.2026(j)

**COVERSHEET TO THE COPY OF JUDGMENT / ORDER IN COMPLIANCE
OF THE PRACTICE DIRECTIONS ISSUED IN PURSUANCE OF THE
ORDER DATED 23.10.2024 PASSED IN WPC(C) 1082/2020, TITLES AS
SUHAS CHAKMA VS. UNION OF INDIA AND ORS, BY THE HON'BLE
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA.**

The applicant/accused is hereby informed that he may avail free legal aid facilities for pursuing higher remedies, for which he may contact for seeking appropriate guidance of:

North Delhi Legal Services Authority,
Room No. 405, Rohini Courts Complex, Delhi
Phone No. 011-27557310 & 9667992797
Email: north-dlsa@nic.in

